



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Yugnia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,835	09/18/2001	Monica A. Jacinto	7784-000255	8137
27572	7590 05/12/2003			
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			WESSMAN, ANDREW E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	8
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPV

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/954,835	JACINTO ET AL.						
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit						
_	Andrew E Wessman	1742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
<ul><li>(d)   they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	<b>3</b> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an								
avalenation of how the new or emended alaims would be rejected is provided below or enpended								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-25.  The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .	•							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>23-25</u> .  ⚠ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
ine proposed drawing correction filed on is		•	ner.					
10. ☑ Other: <u>See attached</u>								
S. Patent and Trademark Office		·						
S. Fatori, and Fragenian Onice								

Application/Control Number: 09/954,835

Art Unit: 1742

## Transitional After Final Practice

- 1. The amendment filed May 5, 2003 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because: The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Applicant has also submitted new claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- 2. The affidavit has been entered and considered but does not overcome the rejection because the affidavit merely contends that the alloy of the prior art would not have sufficient burn resistance without providing any evidence to support such an assertion. Merely arguing that the prior art is not functional without providing data is not convincing. Experimental data showing the shortcomings of the prior art should be presented. Also, nowhere in the pending claims is there any quantitative claim for the property of burn resistance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew E Wessman whose telephone number is (703)305-3163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (703)308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

AEW May 9, 2003 ROY KING

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

BEST AVAILABLE COPY